

METROPOLITAN
TRANSPORTATION
COMMISSION

Joseph P. Bort MetroCenter 101 Eighth Street Oakland, CA 94607-4700 TEL 510.817.5700 TTY/TDD 510.817.5769 FAX 510.817.5848 E-MAIL info@mtc.ca.gov

Scott Haggerty, Chair Alameda County

Adrienne J. Tissier, Vice Chair
San Mateo County

Tom Azumbrado U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

Tom Bates Cities of Alameda County

Dean J. Chu Cities of Santa Clara County

Dave Cortese
Association of Bay Area Governments

Chris Daly
City and County of San Francisco

Bill Dodd Napa County and Cities

Dorene M. GiacopiniU.S. Department of Transportation

Federal D. Glover
Contra Costa County

Anne W. Halsted San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development Commission

> Steve Kinsey Marin County and Cities

Sue Lempert Cities of San Mateo County

Jake Mackenzie Sonoma County and Cities

Jon Rubin San Francisco Mayor's Appointee

Bijan Sartipi State Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

> James P. Spering Solano County and Cities

Amy Rein Worth
Cities of Contra Costa County

Ken Yeager Santa Clara County

Steve Heminger

Ann Flemer
Deputy Executive Director, Policy

Andrew B. Fremier
Deputy Executive Director, Operations

Programming and Allocations Committee June 9, 2010 Minutes

Chair Kinsey called the meeting to order at approximately 10:22 a.m. Committee members present were: Commissioners Bates, Cortese, Daly, and Glover. Other Commissioners present were: Chu, Giacopini, Haggerty, Halsted, Lempert, Mackenzie, Rubin, Spering, Tissier, Worth, and Yeager.

Consent Calendar

The Committee approved the following consent items:

- Minutes of May 12, 2010 meeting;
- FY 2010-11 State Transit Assistance (STA) Regional Discretionary Program. MTC Resolution No. 3964;
- Allocation of FY 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds to County Auditors for TDA administration and to MTC for TDA administration and planning purposes. MTC Resolution No. 3949;
- Allocation of FY 2010-11 Transportation Development Act (TDA) funds, State
 Transit Assistance (STA) funds, and Regional Measure 2 (RM2) operating funds to
 various claimants in the region. Revision to RM2 Operating program. MTC
 Resolution Nos. 3950, 3951, 3955, Revised, and 3956.

Regional

• Revision to FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate to include State Transit Assistance. MTC Resolution No. 3939, Revised.

Mathew Adamo, Programming and Allocations Section, presented a revision to the FY 2010-11 Fund Estimate to include approximately \$140 million in FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 State Transit Assistance (STA) Revenue.

The Committee approved the referral of MTC Resolution No. 3939, Revised to the Commission for approval.

• Proposed allocations of approximately \$145 million in AB 1171 funds and a proposed allocation of approximately \$2.5 million and rescission of \$2.5 million in RM2 Capital funds. MTC Resolution Nos. 3651, Revised and 3914, Revised.

Shruti Hari, Programming and Allocations Section, requested AB 1171 fund allocations for approximately \$145 million for Bay Area Rapid Transit for the final design of the eBART project (\$11 million) and the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (\$134 million) for the final design and construction of the Transbay Transit Center, and a rescission and reallocation of approximately \$2.5 million in RM2 funds towards construction management services.

Programming & Allocations Committee Minutes June 9, 2010 Page 2 of 3

The Committee approved the referral of MTC Resolution Nos. 3651, Revised, and 3914, Revised to the Commission for approval.

• Lifeline Transportation Program Guidelines FY 2010-11 STA Funds. MTC Resolution No. 3965.

Jennifer Yeamans, Planning Section, requested the approval of program guidelines for \$11 million in FY 2010 and FY 2011 STA funding for an interim Lifeline Transportation Program cycle for transit operators.

The Committee approved the referral of MTC Resolution No. 3965 to the Commission for approval.

California Transportation Commission Update

Alix Bockelman, Programming and Allocations Section, gave the Committee an update from the CTC meeting held on May 19 and 20 in Sacramento, CA. Highlights included the adoption of the 2010 STIP, the adoption of Proposition 1A High Speed Rail Transit Bond Program, approval of \$44 million Proposition 1B Corridor Mobility Improvement Account cost savings, and approval to move forward with a Public Private Partnership procurement on the US-101 Doyle Drive project.

Commissioner Jon Rubin asked how a Public Private Partnership will affect the timeline and funding for Doyle Drive. Steve Heminger responded that it is difficult to say since this is the first Public Private Partnership proposed in California in recent years.

Commissioner Jake Mackenzie commented that the Proposition 1B Corridor Mobility Improvement program cost savings will hopefully allow for a more rapid completion of the US-101 HOV lanes in Sonoma County.

Commissioner Adrienne Tissier asked if the \$31 million STIP adoption not being programmed for the Freeway Performance Initiative (FPI) will slow down any of the construction being done in San Mateo County. Ms. Bockelman responded that she will need to review the corridors and get back to the Committee but there will be some impact to the program because MTC was not able to secure funding through the CTC. Commissioner Tissier asked if there was an agreement ahead of time to apply funding to the FPI project. Ms. Bockelman responded that AB 3X20 did include provisions that the Corridor Mobility funding would be guaranteed if ARRA money was used on a bond project. However the STIP funds were less specific.

Commissioner Steve Kinsey asked about the potential benefit of a Public Private Partnership other than transferring the operational responsibilities to a private contractor. Mr. Heminger responded that the Doyle Drive project will set the stage for projects that follow. When the funding plan for Doyle Drive was put together it was premised on public funding with the tolling option being ruled out, which narrows the field for the type of Public Private Partnership. This left the state with the option of an availability payment structure. There is a procurement advantage with Public Private Partnership that is greater than design build because the private contractor is responsible for operation and maintenance and equity to complete the financial strategy. Mr. Heminger confirmed that the ongoing payment would come from SHOPP funds.

Programming & Allocations Committee Minutes June 9, 2010 Page 3 of 3

Commissioner Sue Lempert asked why the Caltrain electrification funding was deferred. Ms. Bockelman responded that Commissioner Ghielmetti wanted more information on Caltrain's financial situation. MTC staff will work with Caltrain staff to provide the information by the next CTC meeting.

Commissioner Kinsey adjourned the meeting at approximately 10:53 a.m.

J:\COMMITTE\PAC\MINUTES\2010 PAC Minutes\06_Jun2010_mins.doc